UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,257	10/22/2003	George Thompson	GLTS:1001RCE	5448	
34725 CHALKER FLO	7590 06/29/201 ORES. LLP	0	EXAMINER		
2711 LBJ FRWY			SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K		
Suite 1036 DALLAS, TX 75234			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/29/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/691,257	THOMPSON ET	AL.
zammer milatea meerren eammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA	3691	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_	
(1) <u>BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Daniel J. Chalker, Attorney</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10, 18 June 2010	Time: <u>11.00 A.M</u>		
Type of Interview:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1-39			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Hani M. Kazimi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed allowability of application subjected to updated search. Requested to overcome impending U.S.C. 101 rejection by tying machine in executing method steps in method claim 1-24. Examiner suggested to include "real time" supported by the written description, after "simultaneously desplaying......" in independent claims 1, 25 and 39. Examiner further suggested to delete phrase "a code segment for" in claims 25-38. The applicant is requested to file terminal disclaimer for application 10/691,227 to overcome a pending double patenting rejection as described in the previous office action

.